

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/766,151	09/766,151 01/19/2001		Terry M. Turpin	509622000400 1278	
25227	7590	11/08/2005		EXAMINER	
MORRISO	N & FOE	ERSTER LLP	WILSON, ROBERT W		
1650 TYSONS BOULEVARD SUITE 300				ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
MCLEAN, VA 22102				2661	

DATE MAILED: 11/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	γ						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Commence	09/766,151	TURPIN ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
The MAN INO DATE of this security of the	Robert W. Wilson	2661					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orresponaence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim  rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from  cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 Oc	ctober 2005.						
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This	☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) 9-13 is/are allowed.							
7) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-o</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
··· _	_						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access		Evaminer					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
<ul> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ul>							
3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priori							
application from the International Bureau		•					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)	_						
) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal P	atent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)						

Application/Control Number: 09/766,151

Art Unit: 2661

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Page 2

1.0 The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2.0 Claims 1-3 & 5-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Seo
- (U.S. Patent No.: 6,222,833 B1) in view of Garcia (U.S. Patent No.: 5,724,162)

Referring to claim 1, Seo teaches: a receiver (communication system) for multi user (Abstract or per col. 1 line 39) detection per Figs 1& 2.

The reference teaches a plurality of processors each of which multiplies S subscript K (t) with r(t) and integrates for time period T which is performing correlation per Figs 1 & 2. r(t) represents multiple users signals per Abstract or per col. 1 line 39 and S subscript K (t) represents spreading codes per col. 1 lines 44-45 (hypothesized signals). The plurality of correlators produces a plurality of correlations (processor for correlating)

Each of the correlators is a one dimensional correlator. The plurality of correlators produce a vector of outputs or a multi-dimensional output array. The dimension of the vector has a dimension based upon the S subscript K (t) (dimension based upon hypothesis) and a second dimension associated with r(t) (dimension based upon correlation results)

11 per Fig 1 or 21 per Fig 2 performs a receiver algorithm for identifying sorting and separating the plurality of received signals based upon the plurality of correlations (algorithm) which results in interference being reduced per col. 1 line 14 or col. 2 lines 36-67.

Seo does not expressly call for: an optical correlator but teaches a correlator per Figs 1 & 2 respectively.

Garcia teaches: an optical correlator per Abstract or col. 1 lines 32-36.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to add the optical correlators of Garcia in place of the correlators of Seo because the optical correlators are faster and more cost effective to implement.

In Addition Seo teaches:

Art Unit: 2661

Regarding claim 2, The spreading codes S subscript K (t) vary with time. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that a controller is required in order to vary the codes with time.

Regarding claim 3, The reference teaches a plurality of correlators which when implemented together generate a a two dimensional output correlation matrix per Figs 1 & 2.

Regarding claim 5, The reference teaches that interference is decreased per abstract. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that because interference is another name for noise and the interference has been reduced that the signal to noise ratio would be enhanced.

Regarding claim 6, The reference teaches this is used to detect signals from multi users per Abstract or per col. 1 line 39)

Regarding claim 7, The applicant does not define what a multi user receiver algorithm is in the claim. The reference teaches that the Figs 1 & 2 are used to receive signals from multi users per Abstract or per col. 1 line 39; therefore, the examiner interprets this as a multi user receiver algorithm.

Regarding claim 8, The reference teaches that the system is a direct sequence spread spectrum CDMA or DS-CDMA receiver for multiple users per Abstract

3.0 Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Seo (U.S. Patent

No.: 6,222,833 B1) in view of Garcia (U.S. Patent No.: 5,724,162) further in view of Bloom

(U.S. Patent No.; 5,311,360)

Referring to claim 4, the combination of Seo and Garcia teaches: The system of claim 1,

The combination of Seo and Garcia does not expressly call for: comprising a converter for converting the plurality of received signals into a form suitable for input to the optical processor

Bloom teaches: modulator which converts electrical to optical signals per col. 1 lines 16-35.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to add the converter from electrical to optical signals of Bloom to the Optical correlator of the combination of Seo and Garcia because according to Bloom it is well known in the art to utilize the modular in conjunction with optical correlators for signal conversion.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Page 4

4.0 The present invention is directed to a method of reducing interference in a multiple user communication system comprising receiving a plurality of signals, optically correlating at least one of the plurality or received signals simultaneously against a plurality of hypothesized signals to generate data comprising a plurality of correlations, the step of optically correlating comprising configuring at leas a one-dimensional optical correlator to product an output comprising a multi-dimensional output array having a first dimension and a second dimension, the first dimension associated with a hypothesis and the second dimension associated with a correlation result"

The closest prior art is Seo (U.S. Patent No.; 6,222,833) and Garcia (U.S. Patent No.; 5,724,162). The combination teaches: a method of reducing interference in a multiple user communication system comprising receiving a plurality of signals, optically correlating at least one of the plurality or received signals simultaneously against a plurality of hypothesized signals to generate data comprising a plurality of correlations, the step of optically correlating comprising configuring at least a optical processor comprising one dimensional correlators which output a multidimensional output array. The combination of Seo and Garcia do not either singularly or in combination anticipate or render obvious the following claim limitation: "the step of optically correlating comprising configuring at least a one-dimensional optical correlator to produce an output comprising a multi-dimensional output array having a first dimension and a second dimension, the first dimension associated with a hypothesis and the second dimension associated with a correlation result" as claimed in claim 9.

Application/Control Number: 09/766,151 Page 5

Art Unit: 2661

In addition:

Claims 10-13 are allowed because they depend upon claim 9.

## Response to Amendment

5.0 Applicant's arguments filed 10/18/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The examiner respectively disagrees with the applicant argument that the combination of the references Seo and Garcia fail to teach "at least one optical processor for correlating at least one of a plurality of received signal simultaneously against a plurality of hypothesized signals to generate data comprising a plurality of correlations." 10 per Fig 1 or 20 per Fig 2 is the processor that has a plurality of correlators (I subcript 1 through I subscript k). Each of the correlators are one dimensional but together the correlators in the processor output a vector which is more than one dimensional. The output of the processor is (1xK) which has more than one dimension. The examiner has interpreted that one dimension of the output is output based upon hypothesis and the other dimension is based upon correlation.

Garcia teaches: an optical correlator per col. 1 lines 32-36. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to add the optical correlators of Garcia in place of the correlators of Seo because the optical correlators are faster and more cost effective to implement.

The examiner respectively disagrees with the applicant's argument that there is a claim limitation "to scan or otherwise search for proper alignment of the spreading code"; therefore, this argument is not relevant to the claimed invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/766,151

Art Unit: 2661

Conclusion

6.0 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Robert W. Wilson whose telephone number is 571/272-3075.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00-4:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Chau T. Nguyen can be reached on 571/272-3126. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Robert W. Wilson

Page 6

Examiner

Art Unit 2661

RWW 10/2/05 BOB PHUNKULH PRIMARY EXAMINER

Bos A Dun